

Shane Sibbel

"Shane is technically excellent, really details-focused, and delivers advice in a client friendly and commercial manner. A real star."

– LEGAL 500, 2024

Year of call: **2010**
Degree: **BA (Oxon) (Jurisprudence, First Class); BCL (Distinction)**



Shane is a highly rated senior junior with a practice focused on commercial disputes. He is regularly instructed against leading counsel, and has extensive advocacy experience of both trials and appeals. He balances sole instructions with working as part of a team on larger cases. He is also a member of the Attorney General's B Panel of Counsel.

Shane is recognised as a leading junior in the current legal directories for Commercial Dispute Resolution (Chambers & Partners Band 3, Legal 500 Band 4); Civil Fraud (Chambers & Partners Band 2, Legal 500 Band 2); Media and Entertainment (Chambers & Partners Band 2; Legal 500 Band 2) and Sport (Legal 500 Band 2). Recent comments include:

- "Shane has a forensic attention to detail and leaves no stone unturned. He has an excellent manner with clients, and is extremely persuasive in court." - Chambers and Partners, 2025
- "Shane is tenacious and unafraid and a real issue-spotter and problem-solver. He has the ear of the court." - Legal 500, 2025
- "Shane is technically excellent, really details-focused, and delivers advice in a client friendly and commercial manner. A real star." - Legal 500, 2024
- "Shane is an excellent barrister with a huge brain. He is responsive and client friendly." - Chambers & Partners, 2024

Previous comments include:

- "A superb junior, who is all over the detail and has good strategic instincts" - Chambers UK, 2023
- "Certainly one of the smartest juniors at the London bar. His drafting is superb and clients like working with him." - Legal 500, 2023

Significant recent work highlights include:

- Recently appeared in a 12 week trial concerning high profile sports disciplinary charges relating to alleged false accounting and other regulatory breaches.
- *Jinxin Inc v Aser Media Pte Ltd*: US\$700m claim brought by a Chinese consortium in connection with the purchase of a majority shareholding in an international sports rights business, which became insolvent. 21 week trial listed for 2025. Shane appeared successfully unled at an important hearing concerning the application of privilege to company email accounts.
- *Vale SA v Steinmetz*: US\$2bn fraud claim concerning a joint venture for the mining of iron ore in the Republic of Guinea. Shane acted for the Second Defendant. The claims were all dismissed with indemnity costs.
- Advising the Cabinet Office in connection with the UK Covid Inquiry.
- Advising the Home Office, the Foreign Office and the Treasury in relation to asset seizure and the war in Ukraine.
- *R (ex parte Majera) v SSHD*: acted successfully for the intervener in an important Supreme Court case on the constitutional principle that a court order must be obeyed until and unless it is set aside or varied by the Court, or overruled by legislation.
- Advising a well known pop star in relation to the termination of agreements with their manager, publisher and associated agents.
- Appearing unled for a well known F1 driver in an arbitration concerning a dispute over their contract.

EXPERIENCE

Commercial

Shane has an extensive commercial practice, covering a broad range of contractual disputes, civil fraud and international arbitration. His cases often involve jurisdictional and cross-border issues. He balances instructions as sole counsel with larger-scale litigation involving teams of counsel.

“His attention to detail is impeccable. He's extremely cerebral, highly analytical and very strategic in his approach.”

– CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS, 2025

Cases

Estate Management and Business Development Company Ltd v Moonilal & Ors

Acting with Andrew Hunter KC and a local counsel team for the Claimant in consolidated proceedings concerning an alleged unlawful means conspiracy whereby the Defendants (comprising a former Minister, former officers at the Claimant, and a number of contractors) allegedly colluded to rig procurement bids for the construction and upgrading of various major roads in Trinidad.

Al-Aggad v Al-Aggad

[2024] 4 WLR 35

Complex commercial dispute between members of a Saudi family involving allegations of unlawful means conspiracy, against the sensitive and politicised background of the Claimant's flight from Saudi Arabia and the grant of asylum to her in Canada. Shane is now lead counsel for the Claimant and was previously junior counsel. He has appeared in a number of interlocutory hearings, including in relation to strike out and the terms of a confidentiality regime [2024] EWHC 266 (Comm) and the hearing of unsuccessful Part 11 jurisdiction challenges brought by the Defendants [2024] EWHC 673 (Comm). A 20 day trial is scheduled for 2026.

Jinxin Inc. v Aser Media Pte Limited & Ors

[2022] EWHC 2431 (Comm); [2022] EWHC 2856 (Comm);

Claim worth over US\$700million brought by a Chinese consortium in connection with the purchase of a majority shareholding in an international sports rights business, which subsequently became insolvent. The Claimant alleges that its entry into the SPA was induced by fraud. Shane acted through the pleadings and disclosure phase (with Andrew Hunter KC and Barnaby Lowe) for the First and Tenth Defendants. Shane appeared successfully and unled at a hearing on the application of privilege to company email accounts: [2022] EWHC 2856 (Comm).

Vale S.A. (& Ors) v Steinmetz (&Ors)

[2022] EWHC 343 (Comm)

The claimant members of the Vale mining group brought a claim in fraud for c.US\$2 billion, relating to a joint venture for the mining of iron ore in the Republic of Guinea. Shane (led by Robert Weekes KC) acted for the second defendant. A 9-week trial in the Commercial Court commenced in late January 2022. In the fourth week of trial the claimants withdrew all of the claims and agreed to pay the defendants' costs on the indemnity basis. The second defendant then successfully pursued claims against the claimants under a cross-undertaking in damages provided as part of a WFO.

Sunny Day Ltd v Shivdasani & Ors

CL 2023 000297

Shane is sole counsel for three defendants in a commercial fraud dispute in the Commercial Court concerning the sale of property in a Thai luxury resort and political guarantees relating to the death of the King of Thailand. Shane has advised on Part 11 and strike out applications and on strategy more generally, and is instructed to appear unled at a three day hearing of those applications in April 2025 raising various issues relating to applicable law, the pleading of allegations of fraud and forum principles.

LCIA Arbitration for the recovery of a restructured debt

Acting for the Claimants in an LCIA arbitration claim for the recovery of c.£20 million in connection with a restructured debt secured by various property developments and gas peaking projects. 17 preliminary issues were bifurcated for a Phase 1 trial in January 2023. Shane appeared unled against a KC at an interim hearing on the admissibility and relevance of the Respondents' evidence for the Phase 1 hearing. He did c.40% of the advocacy at the Phase 1 trial and the advocacy on consequential matters thereafter following the Claimant's success on all but one of the relevant issues. Shane is instructed to appear unled for the Claimant at a 3-4 week trial of the remaining allegations in April 2026.

Dinwoodie v Fisher

[2023] EWHC 1279 (Ch)

Sole counsel for the claimant in a complex joint venture dispute concerning the alleged appropriation by the defendant of shares in several companies and an ongoing dilution and restructuring strategy to exclude the claimant from the companies' businesses. The case raises a variety of issues in relation to fiduciary duties and constructive trusts. The claimant succeeded at first instance and was awarded his costs on the indemnity basis. The defendant's appeal was unsuccessful save in respect of the framing of injunctive relief, with all of the claimant's substantive claims to interests in the companies upheld by a judgment of Sir Anthony Mann. Permission for a second appeal to the Court of Appeal was refused. Enforcement proceedings continue.

Suppipat & Ors v Narogdej & Ors

CL-2018-000716; [2020] EWHC 3191 (Comm)

Acted for the Claimants in a US\$1-2 billion claim for fraud, breach of contract and unlawful means conspiracy involving sixteen defendants and proceedings (substantive and injunctive) across a number of jurisdictions. The First Claimant is a Thai entrepreneur and political refugee, who fled Thailand upon being charged with lèse-majesté ("offending the dignity of the King"). The claim alleges a fraudulent conspiracy to deprive the claims of a majority interest in the leading renewable energy corporation in Thailand.

An important decision of Butcher J in the proceedings clarified (i) the correct approach to costs where a claimant pleads a claim governed by foreign law in reliance upon the default rule in Dicey 25(2), accepting the Claimants' analysis of the recent Court of Appeal decision in *FS Cairo v Brownlie* [2020] EWCA Civ 996; and (ii) the scope of the Court's discretion to grant relief under s.423 of the Insolvency Act 1986 in a case involving foreign elements (dismissing a strike out application brought by D10).

Shane acted as junior Counsel along with Victoria Windle and Andrew Trotter, led by Anthony Peto KC.

Galápagos Bidco SARL v Kebekus (& Ors)

FL-2019-0015; [2021] EWHC 68 (Ch)

Shane was instructed on behalf of the First and Seventh Defendants in English declaratory proceedings concerning the disputed restructuring of 1.1 billion euros of debt by (inter alia) the Claimant and its ultimate owners and controllers. The wider dispute encompasses related fraud and insolvency proceedings in New York, Luxembourg and Germany.

Dili Advisors Corp (& Ors) v Production Investment Management Ltd (&Ors)

[2020] EWHC 2669 (Comm)

Acted for all six defendants in a substantial claim concerning various alleged agreements between Ukrainian oligarchs relating to (amongst other things) a large scale logistics development project in, and around, the port of Odessa, Ukraine. D4 to D6 successfully challenged jurisdiction, and the remainder of the claims were either struck out or withdrawn (led by Brian Kennelly KC).

NOx Emissions Litigation

Shane was instructed as junior counsel (led by Tom de la Mare KC and Ben Jaffey KC) to advise and draft proceedings in relation to two sets of claims relating to the alleged use of multiple types of "defeat devices" in the emissions control systems employed by a number of well known car manufacturers, and (in one case) an alleged technology-suppression cartel.

A v B & Ors

Instructed for the potential Defendants in connection with a threatened claim for US\$717 million alleging breach of contract, partnership, fiduciary and director duties, in connection with the development of an operating system for electrical drivetrains and other electric vehicles (led by Andrew Hunter KC).

Ocado Group plc & Ors v Project Today Holdings Ltd & Ors

BL-2019-00125

Dispute between Ocado and one of its co-founders who has established a rival company, allegedly in breach of confidence, and who now counterclaim for (amongst other things) unlawful means conspiracy against Ocado plc, causing the loss of a contract with Waitrose worth hundreds of millions. In addition to their counterclaim, the Defendants issued an application to discharge search orders said to have been obtained by abuse of process, material non-disclosure and unfair presentation of evidence. Junior counsel for the Defendants (as part of a team with Anthony Peto KC and Daniel Burgess).

In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation

CR-2019-0001717

Advised a former NatWest trader in relation to a contested application for examination under s2 of the Evidence (Proceedings in Other Jurisdictions) Act 1975 in aid of a New York class action concerning the manipulation of the \$5tn-per-day international forex market brought against 16 international banks (15 of which settled for >\$2.3 bn).

Atlantica Holdings Inc v Sovereign Wealth Fund Samruk-Kazyna JSC

[2019] EWHC 319 (QB)

Acted successfully for the U.S. Plaintiffs in proceedings concerning the fraudulent mis-selling of debt securities worth US\$76 million in BTA Bank JSC in the course of restructuring the bank following the well-publicised Ablyazov fraud. Acted for the Plaintiffs in successfully obtaining contested orders for the deposition in England of material witnesses, under s.2 of the Evidence (Proceedings in Other Jurisdictions) Act 1975 (led by Andrew Hunter KC).

PCP Capital Partners LLP & Ors v Barclays Bank Plc

[2017] EWHC 175 (QB)

High profile proceedings arising out of two capital raisings by Barclays Bank in 2008.

Acted successfully as junior counsel for John Varley, ex-CEO of Barclays Bank (led by Javan Herberg KC) on applications to stay the deceit claims brought by PCP against Barclays in connection with the Bank's capital raising exercises during the height of the credit crunch. The Court held that the claim should not be listed to be heard until October 2019, to enable criminal charges brought by the SFO in respect of the Qatar subscriptions (with which it held there was a substantial overlap) to be heard first. The case is a significant example of a civil court being prepared to stay a substantial trial to permit criminal proceedings to take precedence, on the grounds of possible jury contamination.

Farrar v Miller

[2017] EWCA Civ 172; [2018] 2 P&CR DG3

Appeared successfully as sole counsel for the Claimant in the Court of Appeal. The appeal concerned important preliminary issues in respect of the scope of the Pallant v Morgan constructive trust, proprietary estoppel and joint venture fiduciary duties. Permission to appeal to the Supreme Court was refused.

[Confidential] 2 LCIA International Arbitrations over loan facilities

Claims under alleged guarantees for loan facilities. The principal sum claimed was approximately \$70m. Junior counsel for the First Respondent, a major mining company and one of the largest producers of copper in the world, which alleged it was the victim of a complex fraud involving forgery.

The matter involved 18 separate procedural disputes, and a trial split into four stages over a period of 6 months in 2015 and 2016, with further hearings in 2017.

Munich Re Capital Limited v Ascot Corporate Name Limited

[2019] EWHC 2768 (Comm)

Claim concerning the temporal scope and content of a facultative reinsurance policy between the claimant and defendant, in the aftermath of an offshore construction disaster causing losses of c.\$550 million. The case raised complex questions relating to the objective meaning of a reinsurance policy as applied to unexpected factual circumstances. Led by Gavin Kealey KC at the main hearing, and appeared unled at the consequential hearing, where Shane successfully obtained permission to appeal from the first instance judge.

Erdenet Mining Corp v ICBC Standard Bank & Ors

(Comm Court, Court of Appeal, 2017)

Challenge under sections 67 and 68 of the Arbitration Act 1996, in litigation concerning loans made by Standard Bank to a third party Mongolian corporation and the alleged fraudulent misappropriation and dissipation of the loaned monies. Multiple applications concerning security for sums awarded under section 70(7) of the 1996 Act and worldwide disclosure. The case concerned (amongst other things) the correct merits threshold to be applied in s.70(7) applications in cases (1) involving fraud and (2) involving a challenge to arbitrators' jurisdiction.

Junior counsel for the Defendant (as part of a team including Robert Weekes KC).

GNIC v Holland & Barrett International

[2017] EWHC 746 (Ch); [2018] EWCA Civ 1586

Junior counsel (led by Michael Bloch QC) for the successful Defendants in a claim concerning the operation of a sports nutrition business under a number of trade marks held pursuant to a perpetual licence. The case raised issues regarding the rights of an equitable assignee, alleged breaches of a trade mark licence, trade mark infringement and passing off. Successfully defeated the claim at first instance and succeeded on appeal in relation to a discrete point of construction in the licence concerning use post termination.

Civil Fraud, Asset Recovery & Injunctive Relief

Shane is particularly experienced in large-scale and complex fraud litigation, including interlocutory actions and enforcement proceedings. He also has considerable experience of international arbitration practice and procedure in a fraud context.

“He's like a technocrat with amazing client service skills – he's so smart and good at managing complex cases.”

– CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS, 2025

Cases

Estate Management and Business Development Company Ltd v Moonilal & Ors

Acting with Andrew Hunter KC and a local counsel team for the Claimant in consolidated proceedings concerning an alleged unlawful means conspiracy whereby the Defendants (comprising a former Minister, former officers at the Claimant, and a number of contractors) allegedly colluded to rig procurement bids for the construction and upgrading of various major roads in Trinidad.

Al-Aggad v Al-Aggad

[2024] 4 WLR 35

Complex commercial dispute between members of a Saudi family involving allegations of unlawful means conspiracy, against the sensitive and politicised background of the Claimant's flight from Saudi Arabia and the grant of asylum to her in Canada. Shane is now lead counsel for the Claimant and was previously junior counsel. He has appeared in a number of interlocutory hearings, including in relation to strike out and the terms of a confidentiality regime [2024] EWHC 266 (Comm) and the hearing of unsuccessful Part 11 jurisdiction challenges brought by the Defendants [2024] EWHC 673 (Comm). A 20 day trial is scheduled for 2026.

Jinxin Inc. v Aser Media Pte Limited & Ors

[2022] EWHC 2431 (Comm); [2022] EWHC 2856 (Comm);

Claim worth over US\$700million brought by a Chinese consortium in connection with the purchase of a majority shareholding in an international sports rights business, which subsequently became insolvent. The Claimant alleges that its entry into the SPA was induced by fraud. Shane acted through the pleadings and disclosure phase (with Andrew Hunter KC and Barnaby Lowe) for the First and Tenth Defendants. Shane appeared successfully and unled at a hearing on the application of privilege to company email accounts: [2022] EWHC 2856 (Comm).

Vale S.A. (& Ors) v Steinmetz (&Ors)

[2022] EWHC 343 (Comm)

The claimant members of the Vale mining group brought a claim in fraud for c.US\$2 billion, relating to a joint venture for the mining of iron ore in the Republic of Guinea. Shane (led by Robert Weekes KC) acted for the second defendant. A 9-week trial in the Commercial Court commenced in late January 2022. In the fourth week of trial the claimants withdrew all of the claims and agreed to pay the defendants' costs on the indemnity basis. The second defendant then successfully pursued claims against the claimants under a cross-undertaking in damages provided as part of a WFO.

Sunny Day Ltd v Shivdasani & Ors

CL 2023 000297

Shane is sole counsel for three defendants in a commercial fraud dispute in the Commercial Court concerning the sale of property in a Thai luxury resort and political guarantees relating to the death of the King of Thailand. Shane has advised on Part 11 and strike out applications and on strategy more generally, and is instructed to appear unled at a three day hearing of those applications in April 2025 raising various issues relating to applicable law, the pleading of allegations of fraud and forum principles.

Dinwoodie v Fisher

[2023] EWHC 1279 (Ch)

Sole counsel for the claimant in a complex joint venture dispute concerning the alleged appropriation by the defendant of shares in several companies and an ongoing dilution and restructuring strategy to exclude the claimant from the companies' businesses. The case raises a variety of issues in relation to fiduciary duties and constructive trusts. The claimant succeeded at first instance and was awarded his costs on the indemnity basis. The defendant's appeal was unsuccessful save in respect of the framing of injunctive relief, with all of the claimant's substantive claims to interests in the companies upheld by a judgment of Sir Anthony Mann. Permission for a second appeal to the Court of Appeal was refused. Enforcement proceedings continue.

Suppipat & Ors v Narogdej & Ors

CL-2018-000716; [2020] EWHC 3191 (Comm)

Acted for the Claimants in a US\$1-2 billion claim for fraud, breach of contract and unlawful means conspiracy involving sixteen defendants and proceedings (substantive and injunctive) across a number of jurisdictions. The First Claimant is a Thai entrepreneur and political refugee, who fled Thailand upon being charged with lèse-majesté ("offending the dignity of the King"). The claim alleges a fraudulent conspiracy to deprive the claims of a majority interest in the leading renewable energy corporation in Thailand.

An important decision of Butcher J in the proceedings clarified (i) the correct approach to costs where a claimant pleads a claim governed by foreign law in reliance upon the default rule in Dicey 25(2), accepting the Claimants' analysis of the recent Court of Appeal decision in *FS Cairo v Brownlie* [2020] EWCA Civ 996; and (ii) the scope of the Court's discretion to grant relief under s.423 of the Insolvency Act 1986 in a case involving foreign elements (dismissing a strike out application brought by D10).

Shane acted as junior Counsel along with Victoria Windle and Andrew Trotter, led by Anthony Peto KC.

Dili Advisors Corp (& Ors) v Production Investment Management Ltd (&Ors)

[2020] EWHC 2669 (Comm)

Acted for all six defendants in a substantial claim concerning various alleged agreements between Ukrainian oligarchs relating to (amongst other things) a large scale logistics development project in, and around, the port of Odessa, Ukraine. D4 to D6 successfully challenged jurisdiction, and the remainder of the claims were either struck out or withdrawn (led by Brian Kennelly KC).

Ocado Group plc & Ors v Project Today Holdings Ltd & Ors

BL-2019-00125

Dispute between Ocado and one of its co-founders who has established a rival company, allegedly in breach of confidence, and who now counterclaim for (amongst other things) unlawful means conspiracy against Ocado plc, causing the loss of a contract with Waitrose worth hundreds of millions. In addition to their counterclaim, the Defendants issued an application to discharge search orders said to have been obtained by abuse of process, material non-disclosure and unfair presentation of evidence. Junior counsel for the Defendants (as part of a team with Anthony Peto KC and Daniel Burgess).

Atlantica Holdings Inc v Sovereign Wealth Fund Samruk-Kazyna JSC

[2019] EWHC 319 (QB)

Acted successfully for the U.S. Plaintiffs in proceedings concerning the fraudulent mis-selling of debt securities worth US\$76 million in BTA Bank JSC in the course of restructuring the bank following the well-publicised Ablyazov fraud. Acted for the Plaintiffs in successfully obtaining contested orders for the deposition in England of material witnesses, under s.2 of the Evidence (Proceedings in Other Jurisdictions) Act 1975 (led by Andrew Hunter KC).

Farrar v Miller

[2017] EWCA Civ 172; [2018] 2 P&CR DG3

Appeared successfully as sole counsel for the Claimant in the Court of Appeal. The appeal concerned important preliminary issues in respect of the scope of the Pallant v Morgan constructive trust, proprietary estoppel and joint venture fiduciary duties. Permission to appeal to the Supreme Court was refused.

In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation

CR-2019-0001717

Advised a former NatWest trader in relation to a contested application for examination under s2 of the Evidence (Proceedings in Other Jurisdictions) Act 1975 in aid of a New York class action concerning the manipulation of the \$5tn-per-day international forex market brought against 16 international banks (15 of which settled for >\$2.3 bn).

PCP Capital Partners LLP & Ors v Barclays Bank Plc

[2017] EWHC 175 (QB)

High profile proceedings arising out of two capital raisings by Barclays Bank in 2008.

Acted successfully as junior counsel for John Varley, ex-CEO of Barclays Bank (led by Javan Herberg KC) on applications to stay the deceit claims brought by PCP against Barclays in connection with the Bank's capital raising exercises during the height of the credit crunch. The Court held that the claim should not be listed to be heard until October 2019, to enable criminal charges brought by the SFO in respect of the Qatar subscriptions (with which it held there was a substantial overlap) to be heard first. The case is a significant example of a civil court being prepared to stay a substantial trial to permit criminal proceedings to take precedence, on the grounds of possible jury contamination.

BSM Bank JSC v Chernyakov & Ors

[2016] EWHC 3820 (Comm)

£195 million claim for enforcement of Russian judgments by way of common law claim and under s.25 of the CJJA 1982. Further claim alleging that a loan made by D3 to D2 of €6 million was a sham, alternatively liable to an order pursuant to section 423 of the Insolvency Act 1986. Led by Brian Kennelly KC.

Sole counsel in an application raising issues over the scope of freezing order exceptions and whether they apply to lawyer's fees incurred other than in the defence of the proceedings. Successful at first instance and now under appeal.

Sole counsel in an application concerning hardship payment orders and the scope of CPR 72.7.

Arbitration

Shane has extensive experience of commercial and civil fraud arbitrations under the LCIA and ICC rules, as well as sports arbitrations under the FA Rule K procedure and various Formula One procedures.

Cases

LCIA Arbitration for the recovery of a restructured debt

Acting for the Claimants in an LCIA arbitration claim for the recovery of c.£20 million in connection with a restructured debt secured by various property developments and gas peaking projects. 17 preliminary issues were bifurcated for a Phase 1 trial in January 2023. Shane appeared unled against a KC at an interim hearing on the admissibility and relevance of the Respondents' evidence for the Phase 1 hearing. He did c.40% of the advocacy at the Phase 1 trial and the advocacy on consequential matters thereafter following the Claimant's success on all but one of the relevant issues. Shane is instructed to appear unled for the Claimant at a 3-4 week trial of the remaining allegations in April 2026.

LCIA Arbitration in an international sale of goods claim

Instructed as sole counsel for the Defendant in an LCIA Arbitration concerning a contractual dispute arising out of a framework agreement for the international sale of goods.

[Confidential] 2 LCIA International Arbitrations over loan facilities

Claims under alleged guarantees for loan facilities. The principal sum claimed was approximately \$70m. Junior counsel for the First Respondent, a major mining company and one of the largest producers of copper in the world, which alleged it was the victim of a complex fraud involving forgery.

The matter involved 18 separate procedural disputes, and a trial split into four stages over a period of 6 months in 2015 and 2016, with further hearings in 2017.

CRB arbitration

Appeared successfully as sole counsel for a F1 driver in a well-publicised recent arbitration concerning the status of that driver's contract for the 2022, 2023 and 2024 F1 seasons.

ICC Arbitration

Substantial contractual claim against a sports commercial rights holder in relation to the correct distribution of revenues, under a series of inter-related agreements, between participants in a top-flight international sporting competition (led by Andrew Hunter KC). The claim settled shortly before trial in October 2020.

FUR v UEFA & Ors

Shane was instructed to advise the FA in relation to an arbitration claim challenging the lawfulness of UEFA's ban on Russian football teams participating in certain competitions following the Russian invasion of Ukraine (led by Adam Lewis KC).

Erdenet Mining Corp v ICBC Standard Bank & Ors

(Comm Court, Court of Appeal, 2017)

Challenge under sections 67 and 68 of the Arbitration Act 1996, in litigation concerning loans made by Standard Bank to a third party Mongolian corporation and the alleged fraudulent misappropriation and dissipation of the loaned monies. Multiple applications concerning security for sums awarded under section 70(7) of the 1996 Act and worldwide disclosure. The case concerned (amongst other things) the correct merits threshold to be applied in s.70(7) applications in cases (1) involving fraud and (2) involving a challenge to arbitrators' jurisdiction.

Junior counsel for the Defendant (as part of a team including Robert Weekes KC).

Pakistan Cricket Board v Board of Control for Cricket in India

Advised PCB in relation to their arbitration claim against the BCCI for breach of contract in relation to international cricket fixtures (junior counsel to Lord Pannick KC).

Cellino v FA

Rule K Arbitration, 2017

Junior Counsel for the FA (led by Christopher Coltart KC)

Rule K arbitration concerning the sanctioning of a club owner for acting contrary to Rule E3 in relation to the transfer of a footballer.

The Tribunal upheld the finding of liability and imposed a suspension from footballing activity of 12 months (and fine of 100,000) upon Mr Cellino.

Civil Liberties & Human Rights

Shane accepts pro bono instructions on human rights and international law matters, and has appeared in a number Supreme Court cases in that area. He is regularly instructed as junior counsel by the UNHCR on Refugee Convention matters: the challenge to the Rwanda refugee policy, FA (Pakistan), WA (Pakistan), AS (Afghanistan). He also appeared successfully, pro bono, in a recent Supreme Court case on the principles concerning the validity of ultra vires court orders (*R (ex p Majera) v SSHD* [2021] UKSC 46; [2021] 3 WLR 1075).

Cases

R (ex parte Majera) v SSHD

[2021] UKSC 46; [2021] 3 WLR 1075

Important constitutional case on the principle that a court order must be obeyed until and unless it is set aside or varied by the Court, or overruled by legislation, regardless of whether the order is valid, invalid, regular, irregular, or made by a court of limited or unlimited jurisdiction. Shane appeared pro bono with Raza Husain KC and Laura Dubinsky KC for Bail for Immigration Detainees (intervening).

Asset Seizure

Advising the Home Office, Foreign Office and Treasury in connection with asset seizure and the war in Ukraine (led by Sir James Eadie KC).

Covid-19 and its impact upon the prison service

Shane was instructed by the Secretary of State for Justice, and HMPPS, as part of a core counsel team, led by Sir James Eadie KC, to advise in relation to a variety of legal issues and potential challenges arising out of the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic upon the prison service.

In that role he has worked on (amongst other things):

- a potential systems challenge by the Howard League for Penal Reform to the policies of HMPPS regarding the release of prisoners during the pandemic (the challenge was not issued);
- Advising HMPPS generally as to its response to the pandemic;
- *R (ex p Davis) v SSJ* (CO/1389/2020): a claim seeking the immediate release of a prisoner for Covid-19 related health reasons during (the claim was withdrawn);
- *R (ex p Jalil) v SSJ* (CO/515/2020): a claim which sought (inter alia) to challenge the approach of the SSJ to the prisoner's transfer to open conditions during the pandemic; and
- Pre-action correspondence in response to a number of further individual challenges to decisions not to release prisoners and/or to the conditions of their detention.

R (on the application of CWJ) v Director of Legal Aid Casework

[2025] EWHC 306

Judicial review proceedings in which the Claimant challenged (i) the refusal of the Director of Legal Aid Casework to grant her legal aid in relation to proceedings before an independent review panel concerning the exclusion of her child from school and (ii) the Lord Chancellor's Exceptional Case Funding Guidance. Following a two day rolled up hearing in May 2024, the claims were dismissed. Shane appeared unled for the Lord Chancellor.

MS (Pakistan) v SSHD

UKSC 2018/0159

Supreme Court appeal concerning (i) the jurisdiction of immigration tribunals to make factual findings in relation to an individual's human trafficking history, in the course of determining the lawfulness of removal and (ii) the scope and content of positive obligations owed under Article 4 ECHR in human trafficking cases, again in the context of assessing removal decisions. Junior counsel for ECPAT UK (intervening), led by Raza Husain KC.

AS (Afghanistan) v SSHD (UNHCR intervening)

[2019] EWCA Civ 873

Appeal concerning the correct approach to determining the "reasonableness" of an internal flight alternative / internal relocation alternative under the test prescribed in AH (Sudan) v SSHD [2008] 1 AC 678 and Januzi v SSHD [2006] 2 AC 426, and in particular the extent to which the question is to be determined by comparison with the conditions of a "significant minority" in the country concerned. Acted for the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (intervening) (led by Michael Fordham KC).

WA (Pakistan) v SSHD (UNHCR intervening)

[2019] EWCA Civ 302

Appeal concerning the compatibility of the country guidance in MN (Ahmadis: Country Conditions: Risk: Pakistan) [2012] UKUT 389 (IAC) with the principle in HJ (Iran) v SSHD [2010] UKSC 31, and the responsibility of tribunals to apply that principle to their factual findings, irrespective of the positive case advanced by the applicant. Acted for the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (led by Michael Fordham KC, with Gayatri Sarathi), which intervened in support of the successful appellant.

FA (Pakistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

UKSC 2016/0167

Appeal to the Supreme Court, in a sequel to the decisions in HJ (Iran) [2011] 1 AC 596 and RT (Zimbabwe) [2013] 1 AC 152. The appeal concerned a refusal of asylum on the expectation that an individual would suppress the expression of their religious faith, where the state criminalised the reasonable expression of that identity. Junior counsel for the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (led by Michael Fordham KC).

R (DS and others) v SSWP; R (DA and others) v SSWP

UKSC 2018/0061 & UKSC 2018/0074

Successfully opposed two expedited appeals to the Supreme Court raising challenges under Article 14 ECHR (taken with Article 8 and/or Article 1 Protocol 1) to the benefit cap legislation. The appeals also raised issues under common law and Article 3(1) of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Junior counsel for the Secretary of State (as part of a team including Simon Pritchard).

Belhaj & ors v Straw & ors

[2017] UKSC 3

Civil claims against the security services, the former foreign secretary and others alleging their complicity in rendition and torture. In a landmark judgment, the Supreme Court concluded that the claims could proceed to trial, dismissing the Defendants' assertions that the claims were barred by state immunity and/or the doctrine of foreign act of state. Junior counsel with Ravi Mehta for the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture and the UN Chair-Rapporteur on Arbitrary Detention (in their first intervention in UK proceedings).

Public & Regulatory

Shane accepts instructions across all areas of public law and is a member of the Attorney General's B Panel of counsel. He is particularly experienced in public law matters with a commercial element. He recently appeared in the Supreme Court for the Government in two joined expedited appeals challenging social welfare legislation.

Cases

R (on the application of the Cabinet Office) v Chair of the UK Covid-19 Inquiry

[2023] EWHC 1702

Shane acted for the Claimant in a high profile expedited judicial review challenge to a notice issued by the UK Covid Inquiry under s.21 of the Inquiries Act 2005 for the production of a large volume of material without redactions for unambiguous irrelevance. The Divisional Court held that the notice was not ultra vires but that it was open to the Cabinet Office to challenge the notice under s.24 of the 2005 Act, providing allegedly irrelevant materials on a de bene esse basis, and that on any subsequent judicial review challenge the Court would decide questions of relevance for itself. Shane was led by Sir James Eadie KC.

Advising the Cabinet Office in relation to the UK Covid Inquiry

Shane continues to advise the Cabinet Office and other government departments (led and unled) in connection with various issues concerning the disclosure of documents and information to the UK Covid Inquiry under the Inquiries Act 2005.

R (on the application of WA) v Director of Legal Aid Casework

[2023] EWHC 689

Significant case on the proper construction of the Civil Legal Aid (Financial Resources and Payment for Services) Regulations 2013, as to whether a dependent child could be a member of two households simultaneously for the purposes of the application of a fixed dependent's allowance during the calculation of financial eligibility. Shane appeared unled for the Lord Chancellor.

R (ex parte Majera) v SSHD

[2021] UKSC 46; [2021] 3 WLR 1075

Important constitutional case on the principle that a court order must be obeyed until and unless it is set aside or varied by the Court, or overruled by legislation, regardless of whether the order is valid, invalid, regular, irregular, or made by a court of limited or unlimited jurisdiction. Shane appeared pro bono with Raza Husain KC and Laura Dubinsky KC for Bail for Immigration Detainees (intervening).

R (on the application of CWJ) v Director of Legal Aid Casework

[2025] EWHC 306

Judicial review proceedings in which the Claimant challenged (i) the refusal of the Director of Legal Aid Casework to grant her legal aid in relation to proceedings before an independent review panel concerning the exclusion of her child from school and (ii) the Lord Chancellor's Exceptional Case Funding Guidance. Following a two day rolled up hearing in May 2024, the claims were dismissed. Shane appeared unled for the Lord Chancellor.

Asset Seizure

Advising the Home Office, Foreign Office and Treasury in connection with asset seizure and the war in Ukraine (led by Sir James Eadie KC).

Windrush Scheme and Compensation Scheme

Advised the Home Office (led by Sir James Eadie KC) in connection with various legal issues relating to the application of the Windrush Scheme and Windrush Compensation Scheme, by reference to the historical rights of Commonwealth citizens under statute since 1962.

Covid-19 and its impact upon the prison service

Shane was instructed by the Secretary of State for Justice, and HMPPS, as part of a core counsel team, led by Sir James Eadie KC, to advise in relation to a variety of legal issues and potential challenges arising out of the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic upon the prison service.

In that role he has worked on (amongst other things):

- a potential systems challenge by the Howard League for Penal Reform to the policies of HMPPS regarding the release of prisoners during the pandemic (the challenge was not issued);
- Advising HMPPS generally as to its response to the pandemic;
- R (ex p Davis) v SSJ (CO/1389/2020): a claim seeking the immediate release of a prisoner for Covid-19 related health reasons during (the claim was withdrawn);
- R (ex p Jalil) v SSJ (CO/515/2020): a claim which sought (inter alia) to challenge the approach of the SSJ to the prisoner's transfer to open conditions during the pandemic; and
- Pre-action correspondence in response to a number of further individual challenges to decisions not to release prisoners and/or to the conditions of their detention.

Law Society v Director of Legal Aid Casework

Advised the Lord Chancellor in relation to a challenge to the fairness of a consultation exercise concerning the transfer of certain legal aid costs assessments from the Courts to the Legal Aid Agency.

Personal Injury Discount Rate

Advised the Lord Chancellor on setting the new personal injury discount rate following the passage of the Civil Liability Act 2018, which amended the provisions of the Damages Act 1996 (led by Sir James Eadie KC).

Jurisdiction of the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office

Advised the JCIO on whether and if so to what extent it has jurisdiction to consider complaints against former judicial office holders under the Constitutional Reform Act 2005 and secondary legislation (led by Sir James Eadie KC).

R (DS and others) v SSWP; R (DA and others) v SSWP

UKSC 2018/0061 & UKSC 2018/0074

Successfully opposed two expedited appeals to the Supreme Court raising challenges under Article 14 ECHR (taken with Article 8 and/or Article 1 Protocol 1) to the benefit cap legislation. The appeals also raised issues under common law and Article 3(1) of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Junior counsel for the Secretary of State (as part of a team including Simon Pritchard).

EUROCONTROL v TUIfly GmbH

QB-2019-575

Claim for declarations as to the lawfulness of the detention of aircraft under the Transport Act 2000 (and implementing regulations), at the request of EUROCONTROL, in the context of the international regime established under the EUROCONTROL Convention 1960.

Ofsted v R (ex parte Durand Academy Trust)

[2018] EWCA Civ 2813

Junior counsel for Ofsted in its successful appeal against a decision of the High Court ([2017] EWHC 2097) relating to the fairness of Ofsted's complaints procedures and the statutory and common law requirements of procedural fairness in relation to reports placing schools into special measures (led by James Eadie KC).

Acted as sole counsel in advising Ofsted on the impact of the Durand decision in several other cases.

SME Finance Inquiry

Junior Counsel to Andrew Green KC, the Specialist Adviser to the Treasury Select Committee, in relation to his evidence to that committee concerning a proposal to create a system of tribunals to determine disputes between SMEs and banks.

Export Finance

Advised the Export Credits Guarantee Department as to the applicable public law principles, and potential private law recourse, arising out of admitted misconduct by a previously supported UK business (led by Sir James Eadie KC).

Public infrastructure and pensions reforms

Shane has advised as junior counsel, led by Sir James Eadie KC, on a number of potential reforms to public infrastructure and pensions schemes, which raise overlapping commercial and public law questions.

Media & Entertainment

As part of his commercial practice Shane has been involved in a number of intellectual property cases and advices within the sphere of Media and Entertainment. He also has particular experience of litigation concerning mass online copyright infringement.

“He is fabulous in court.”

– CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS, 2025

Cases

Advice on band legacy issues

Advising the surviving members of a well known band unled in relation to (i) corporate and copyright controls over the future exploitation of the band's work; and (ii) the use of a deceased member's name, likeness and biographical material for merchandising and promotional purposes.

Haynes v Young Recordings & Ors

IL 2022 000129

Copyright dispute concerning the use of lyrics and/or a performance as part of a well known track featuring vocal samples researched from Jungle radio released in the early 1990s. Shane acts unled for the Defendant record label, publisher and artist.

Ownership and exploitation of reggae master recordings

Advising in relation to a potential claim on behalf of the successor in title to a well known record producer of reggae music. The potential claims concern the ownership and ongoing licensing of various master recording catalogues and the alleged failure by the defendants properly to exploit the same. Shane acts unled for the potential claimant.

Music management and publishing agreements dispute

Instructed by a well known pop star in connection with a series of related disputes surrounding the validity and enforceability of their management and publishing agreements (led by Ian Mill KC).

John (t/a Quantum Digital) v Lucasfilm Ltd LLC

[2018] EWHC 624

Sole counsel for the successful claimant in a high profile action against Lucasfilm for procuring breach of a contract between the Claimant, an independent film maker, and the organiser of a Star Wars fan convention. Following trial Lucasfilm were found liable in damages and were ordered to pay 75% of the Claimant's costs.

TIME SpA & Freecut v Nir Tibor (a.k.a. Dennis Lloyd)

BL-2018-001933

Acted for the Defendant in a claim brought for the enforcement of alleged option rights under recording and publishing agreements (said to be worth up to £2.4 million). The case raises issues as to construction, rectification, assignment, specific performance and the applicability of foreign law (led by Andrew Green KC).

Pixdene Ltd v Dramatico Ltd

IPEC (2017 - 2018)

Claim alleging a contractual entitlement, alternatively a charge or constructive trust, in respect of merchandising revenue from the worldwide exploitation of rights to the Wombles. Sole counsel for the Defendant.

Network Ireland & Ors v SIL

QBD (2018)

Acted for the Respondent in relation to an application for pre-action disclosure. The dispute arose out of a series of distribution and licensing agreements for the international distribution of short films submitted in competition for Academy Awards.

Live Nation (Music) Ltd v SH

Acted for the Defendants in a claim alleging breach of restrictive covenants and duties of confidence, in the context of a wider dispute between competitors in the music industry (junior counsel to Tom Croxford KC).

Streaming manipulation

Advising a global record industry body in relation to the recent phenomenon of "streaming manipulation" and the civil causes of action that exist in relation to it.

Film rights

Advising a film production company in relation to an agreement concerning the worldwide grant of alleged film and personality rights and purporting to bind third parties upon the death of the original grantor.

Social media platforms

Advised a global record industry body on the obligations and potential liability, under the E-Commerce Directive, of operators of several social media platforms in relation to infringing activity carried out on or through such websites.

Blocking orders in respect of online piracy

Acted for the members of the BPI in obtaining orders under section 97A CDPA 1988 requiring ISPs to block access to 21 BitTorrent Indexing or MP3 Aggregating websites. The orders were successfully obtained.

Pirate Party UK blocking action

Acted for the BPI as junior counsel in the preparation of an application for an injunction to prevent the Pirate Party UK from providing a proxy service on its website permitting internet users to circumvent the blocking orders over The Pirate Bay website granted in *Dramatico Entertainment & others v British Sky Broadcasting & others* [2012] EWHC 268 (Ch). The Pirate Party UK took down the proxy service in the face of imminent legal action.

Sport

Shane has acted in a range of cases involving sports regulators, clubs and agencies, in the English Courts and in international arbitrations. He recently appeared unled in an F1 CRB arbitration for a well known F1 driver in a high profile contract dispute. He was instructed as junior counsel for WADA in a novel claim by an athlete for private law damages arising out of doping proceedings. He by the FA in Rule K arbitrations. Shane also enjoys acting for players in rugby disciplinary hearings.

He co-authored the chapter on Sports Stadia in De Marco on "Football and the Law" (2nd Ed).

“Shane is tenacious and unafraid and an incredible issue-spotter and problem-solver. He has the ear of the court.”

– LEGAL 500, 2025

Cases

Jinxin Inc. v Aser Media Pte Limited & Ors

[2022] EWHC 2431 (Comm); [2022] EWHC 2856 (Comm);

Claim worth over US\$700million brought by a Chinese consortium in connection with the purchase of a majority shareholding in an international sports rights business, which subsequently became insolvent. The Claimant alleges that its entry into the SPA was induced by fraud. Shane acted through the pleadings and disclosure phase (with Andrew Hunter KC and Barnaby Lowe) for the First and Tenth Defendants. Shane appeared successfully and unled at a hearing on the application of privilege to company email accounts: [2022] EWHC 2856 (Comm).

CRB arbitration

Appeared successfully as sole counsel for a F1 driver in a well-publicised recent arbitration concerning the status of that driver's contract for the 2022, 2023 and 2024 F1 seasons.

Sakho & Others v World Anti-Doping Agency

HQ 17M02991

High profile claim brought by a well-known Premiership footballer against WADA alleging liability in negligence and defamation following the dismissal of UEFA doping proceedings against that individual. Mr Sakho (and his image rights company) is seeking career-long damages estimated at £16 million. The claim raised novel issues as regards the existence and scope of any private law duty of care owed by WADA to athletes, as well as complex issues surrounding loss of a chance and the quantification of future football earnings. Junior counsel for WADA (led by Ian Mill KC). The claim settled in 2020.

FUR v UEFA & Ors

Shane was instructed to advise the FA in relation to an arbitration claim challenging the lawfulness of UEFA's ban on Russian football teams participating in certain competitions following the Russian invasion of Ukraine (led by Adam Lewis KC).

CJ Motorsport Consulting Ltd v Bird

[2019] EWHC 2330

Acted for the Defendant, a professional motor racing driver in the FIA Formula E Championship and the FIA World Endurance Championship, in a dispute with his managers/funders concerning restrictive covenants in a management contract and alternative claims in unjust enrichment. Successfully obtained summary judgment in favour of the Defendant on the entire Claim. Led by Ian Mill KC.

ICC Arbitration

Substantial contractual claim against a sports commercial rights holder in relation to the correct distribution of revenues, under a series of inter-related agreements, between participants in a top-flight international sporting competition (led by Andrew Hunter KC). The claim settled shortly before trial in October 2020.

Ipswich Town FC v Chief Constable of Suffolk Constabulary

[2017] EWCA Civ 1484

Landmark decision of the Court of Appeal concerning the right of the police to charge football clubs for policing on "closed roads" during match days. Sole counsel for the intervener, the English Football League, intervening in support of the successful appellant, Ipswich Town F.C.

Sivana Sports International Fze v Whitedunes & Ors

QB-2019-002146

Acted on behalf of a well known sports star in a dispute with a former agent and manager claiming purported commission of US\$20 million (led by Andrew Hunter KC).

Pakistan Cricket Board v Board of Control for Cricket in India

Advised PCB in relation to their arbitration claim against the BCCI for breach of contract in relation to international cricket fixtures (junior counsel to Lord Pannick KC).

Policing Football Matches

Advised a Premiership football club in relation to whether policing services provided in an area surrounding the stadium on match days are chargeable under s25 of the Police Act 1996, following the judgment of the Court of Appeal in Ipswich FC v Chief Constable of Suffolk (led by Adam Lewis KC).

ICC Arbitration over football sponsorship

Sole counsel for the Defendant in an ICC arbitration in which a top football club claimed a contractual debt of \$8.22 million from one of its former sponsorship partners.

Brennan v FA

Rule K Arbitration, 2019

Challenge to an FA disciplinary decision following a dispute over an on-field sanction.
Sole counsel for the FA.

Lynch v FA

(Rule K Arbitration, 2017 - 2018)

Sole counsel in a Rule K Arbitration for the FA, in a challenge by a Club owner to sanction following his admission to a number of charged breaches of Rules E1 and E3, following his breach of undertakings to the FA, his acting as a Director/Officer of the Club at times without written confirmation from the FA, and entering into an improper loan agreement purportedly on behalf of the Club.

Cellino v FA

Rule K Arbitration, 2017

Junior Counsel for the FA (led by Christopher Coltart KC)

Rule K arbitration concerning the sanctioning of a club owner for acting contrary to Rule E3 in relation to the transfer of a footballer.

The Tribunal upheld the finding of liability and imposed a suspension from footballing activity of 12 months (and fine of 100,000) upon Mr Cellino.

ACHIEVEMENTS

Education

BA (Oxon.) First Class (4th in year); BCL (Distinction)

Prizes & Scholarships

- Pupil Advocacy Prize (Inner Temple, 2011)
- Rawlinson Debating Cup: Winner (Inner Temple, 2010)
- Thomas Finlay Intervarsity Moot: Finalist & Best Speaker (UCD Law Society, 2010)
- Princess Royal Scholarship (Inner Temple, 2009 - 2010)
- Freshfields Bruckhouse Deringer Scholarship (Oxford University, 2008)
- Lincoln College Exhibitioner (Oxford University, 2005 - 2007)

Publications

Co-author of Third Party Liability, Chapter 3 in Paul Goulding KC (ed.) Employee Competition 3rd Edition (OUP, 2016)

Co-author of Sports Stadia, Chapter 20 in Nick de Marco KC (ed.) Football and the Law
2nd Edition (Bloomsbury 2022)

Other Interests

Travel, Photography, Music, Rugby

VAT registration number: 120943145

Barristers regulated by the Bar Standards Board